Of

# WITNESSES

Defense! Witnesses	Page
KAWAHARA, Naoichi (resumed)	31766
Cross (cont'd) by Colonel Mornane	31766
Question by the President of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	31782
Cross (cont'd) by Colonel Mornane	31783
MORNING RECESS	31792
Cross (cont'd) by Colonel Mornane	31793
Redirect by Mr. Howard	31799
(Witness excused)	31800
SHIBAYAMA, Kaneshiro (recalled)	31801
Direct by Mr. Howard	31801
Cross by Colonel Mornane	31807
(Witness excused)	31808
TANAKA, Tadakatsu	31809
Direct by Mr. Howard	31809
Cross by Colonel Mornane	31819
Redirect by Mr. Howard	31822
(Witness excused)	31823

Of

WITNESSES

(cont'd)

Defense' Witnesses	Page
TAKEBE, Rokuzo	31824
Direct by Colonel Ivanov	31824
Cross by Mr. Blakeney .	31843
AFTERNOON RECESS	31852
Cross (cont'd) by Mr. Blakeney	31854
Questions by the President of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	31858
Cross (cont'd) by Mr. Blakeney	31861

of ...

# EXHIBITS

Doc.	Def.	Pros.		or In
3218		3345	Tables 1 to 10, re Articl 27 of the War Ministry General Affairs Regula- tions	
638		3366	Telegram Addressed to the Vice-Minister of War KIMURA, Heitare from the Chief of Staff of the Ha-shu Corps, dated 14 October 1941	
		3367	Bound File of Correspondence from Japanese POW Information Bureau re POW Inspection visits in 1942 and 1943	
1552		3367-A	Excerpt therefrom - Item 56	31 790
1552		3367-В	Excerpt from POW Adminis- ) tration File re Inspect of POW Camps on 1 Decem 1942 - Item 73	ion aber 31797
1026	3368		Affidavit of SHIBAYAMA, Kaneshiro	31802
954	3369		Affidavit of TANAKA, Tadakatsu	31809
629		3370	Telegram Addressed to the Assistant Minister of War from the Chief of Staff of the Expedition Force to China, dated 2 April 1942	nary

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

No.	Def. No.	Pros. No.	Description	For In Ident.Evidenc
			NOON RECESS	31820
2239-A		3371	Affidavit of TAKEBE,	31834

#### Monday, 27 October 1947

2 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST 3 Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building 4 Tokyo, Japan 6 The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, 7 at 0930. 8 9 Appearances: 10 For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with 11 the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE R. B. PAL, Member 12 from India, not sitting from 0930 to 1600. 13 For the Prosecution Section, same as before. 14 For the Defense Section, same as before. 15 16 (English to Japanese and Japanese 17 to English interpretation was made by the 18 Language Section, IMTFE.) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except OSHIMA and SHIRATORI who are represented by counsel. We have a certificate from the surgeon of Sugamo Prison that SHIRATORI is ill and unable to attend the trial today. The certificate will be recorded and filed. With the permission of the Tribunal the accused OSHIMA is absent from the courtroom conferring with his counsel and will be absent for the whole of the session.

Colonel Mornane.

13

14

15

16

17

NAOICHI KAWAHARA, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

18

19

20

21

22

23

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY COLONEL MORNANE (Continued):

Q Witness, on page 1 of your affidavit you say that you managed the affairs of the Minister's Secretariat by order of the Minister as a senior of the Adjutant Department.

24

25

(The witness spoke in Japanese)
COLONEL MORNANE: Perhaps the witness

could be handed exhibit 3348, if the Tribunal pleases. It was put in on Friday.

THE MONITOR: Japanese court reporter.

(Whereupon, the Monitor spoke in

Japanese)

THE INTERPRETER: The witness answered "yes."

Q In the meantime, Witness, Article 2 of the War Ministry General Affairs Regulations provided that the affairs of the Ministry should be divided among the bureaus and the Minister's Secretariat, is that not correct?

A Yes.

Q And it was further provided that unless otherwise provided, the Minister's Secretariat should take charge of the management of the ministries, is that so?

A Yes, as you say.

Q So, in effect, unless specific provision was made, your secretariat had charge of all of the affairs of the War Ministry.

A The actual handling of the various affairs and business was done by the various competent bureaus themselves, but after these affairs were handled by the various bureaus, the final co-ordination was done by the Minister's Secretariat.

12

14

13

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

20

21

22

23 24

1	Q But, in addition, the bureaus only handled
2	matters specifically allotted to them, is that not
3	so?
4	. Each bureau carried out its duties as
5	defined in the Ministry Regulations.
6	COLONEL MORNANE: Now, could the witness
7	be shown exhibit 3348, please.
8	(Whereupon, a document was handed
9	to the witness.)
10	Q They are excerpts of the regulations to
11	which you refer, are they not?
12	A Yes, they are.
13	Now, Witness, I want you to look at IPS
14	document No. 3218.
15	(Whereupon, a document was handed
16	to the witness.)
17	Q I think you will find the certificate on
19	the back of it.
20	A Yes, I can find it.
21	Q Now, I suggest to you that that document
22	consists of Tables 1 to 10, referred to in Article
23	27 of the War Ministry General Affairs Regulations.
24	Is that so?
25	A Yes, that is so.
	COLONEL MORNANE: If the Tribunal pleases.

1	I wish to put these tables in. They are the
2	tables omitted from my friend's exhibit 3348,
3	about which we spoke on Friday.
4	THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Howard.
5	MR. HOWARD: We have no objection, your
6	Honor.
7	COLONEL MORNANE: Unfortunately, I am
8	not at the moment in the position to provide the
9	Tribunal with the translation of the document, nor
10	the defense with Japanese copies. But I will
11	undertake to do so as soon as the document has
12	been translated and processed.
13	I tender in evidence IPS document 3218.
14	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
15	CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document
16	3218 will receive exhibit No. 3365.
17	(Whereupon, the document above
18	referred to was marked prosecution exhibit
19	No. 3365 and received in evidence.)
20	Q Now, under those tables, Witness, certain
21	matters are given specifically to the various
22	bureaus, are they not?
24	A Yes, the various duties given to the various
	bureaus under their table of organization are set

out here.

2

3

7

8

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

THE INTERPRETER: Correction: "Organizational Regulations" instead of "Table of Organization." And under Article 2 of exhibit 3348, which you have in front of you, those matters not provided there fell under your management as Senior Adjutant in charge of the Secretariat, is that not so? No, that is not so. Would you read out Article 2 of 3348? Q (Reading): "Article 2. The affairs of this ministry shall be divided among the bureaus and the Minister's Secretariat and the Minister's Secretariat shall take charge of the management of affairs thereof, unless otherwise provided."

100

22

23

24

Q Where else was any provision made except in these regulations?

A In Article 2, at the very end, you find the words, "unless it is otherwise provided." Actually, however, there is no other provision. Also, Article 2, if you look at it, provides that, "The affairs of this ministry shall be divided among the Bureaus and the minister's secretariat..."

In matters relating to -- all outgoing matters are handled by the Minister's Secretariat, but within the Ministry itself all affairs are divided among the bureaus and the Minister's Secretariat.

Q In accordance with Tables 1 to 10?

A No, that is not so. Tables 1 to 10, other than the organizational regulations, provide only for matters to be handled by the Minister as delegated to the various bureaus. All other matters, that is to say, only a portion of the business of the War Ministry is set forth in Tables 1 to 10. Furthermore, these matters which are to be divided are to be handled by the various bureaus.

Q Well, now, you say that only a portion of the affairs of the War Minister is set forth in Tables 1 to 10. I take it that they only include, too, a portion of the Vice-Minister's duties. A Yes.

1

Well, now, actually under Article 11 of the exhibit which you have in front of you, you are required to take charge of the affairs of the Minister's Secretariat in compliance with the orders of the Minister and the Vice-Minister, is that not so?

A Yes.

Q And, further, under the same Article, it provides that you shall --"the Senior Adjutant shall take charge of the general management of the Ministry, pursuant to orders of the Minister and Vice-Minister," is that not so?

A Yes, as you have said.

Q And, under Article 24, it is provided that,
"The competent adjutant shall deliver the documents"
to you, as Senior Adjutant, if they are regarded as
sufficiently important to require "prompt inspection
by the Minister, the Parliamentary Vice-Minister, or
the Councillor."

A. Yes, as you say.

Q And, under Article 25, if there is any doubt as to which sections matters shall be referred, you are required to nominate the section after receiving instructions from the Vice-Minister, are you not?

A Yes, but, as a matter of fact, such things

19

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23 24

do not exist.

Q In other words, you know where to send them
without any further advice?

A Yes.

Chiefs, under Article 30, important matters cannot be started by bureau chiefs without having the consent of the Minister or the Vice-Minister to their execution.

A This does not mean that matters cannot be executed without getting the Minister's or the Vice-Minister's private consent.

Q But, it does mean that important matters cannot be executed without getting the consent of the Minister or the Vice-Minister, does it not?

A This part should not really read, "to the Minister or to the Vice-Minister." It should be, "to the Minister and to the Vice-Minister," and in some cases we may get the consent only of the Minister and it is not necessary to get the consent of the Vice-Minister.

You don't suggest, Witness, do you, that there is a mistranslation there?

There is nothing wrong in the Regulations the Selves. You just stated, "to the Minister or to

9

10

11

12

13 14

16

17

18

19

21

23

22

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

..

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24 25 the Vice-Minister," and I just wanted to tell you that it is not "to the Minister or to the Vice-Minister" but "to the Minister and to the Vice-Minister."

THE MONITOR: And it is correctly stated, as given in the copy I have, meaning the Japanese copy.

COLONEL MORNANE: I would ask that the translation of Article 30 of exhibit 3348 be referred to the Language Arbitrator, if the Tribunal pleases.

THE PRESIDENT: It is referred accordingly.

when the consideration of documents has been completed by the section, they must be handed over to the Minister's Secretariat for review by the adjutant, is that not so?

A Yes.

Q And, he then can carry it into effect -- can carry the matters into effect after getting the approval of the Minister or the Vice-Minister to whom they are submitted, is that not so?

A Yes.

Q And, Article 41 provides that in an emergency the Senior Adjutant may act on his own, thus must immediately make a report to the Minister of the Vice-Minister after the execution of the matter, is

```
1 that so?
        A Yes.
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
25
```

Knapp & Kapleau

10

11

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now. coming to Article 46, that provides
that the director of the Bureau of Personnel Affairs
must get approval from the Minister or Vice Minister
with regard to certain important secret matters, is
that not so?

A Yes.

7 And those matters may include the appoint-8 ment and dismissal of personnel?

A "hat do you mean by the dismissal of personnel?

I refer you to Article 46, which refers to matters concerning personnel affairs hereafter mentioned, and immediately following that there is paregraph 1, matters regarding movement, appointment, and dismissal.

A I should like to state here that the ministers' secretariat has nothing whatsoever to do with affairs of personnel. These are all under the jurisdiction of the Personnel Bureau.

And in accordance with this article he must get, in certain cases, approval from the Minister or the Vice-Minister, is that not so?

A In this article you will find the words
"can be dealt with by the Bureau of Personnel Affairs."

THE INTERPRETER: The witness said "by the

Dino

Director of the Bureau of Personnel Affairs.

Q Witness, I refer you to the first part of that article, which I will read:

 "Among matters concerning personnel affairs hereafter mentioned, for those which are considered secret, the Director of the Bureau of Personnel Affairs must get approval from the Minister or Vice-Minister according to the degree of importance (those which need minister's approval positively must be inspected by the Vice-Minister) or the Director of Bureau of Personnel Affairs can deal with them himself."

Does that not suggest to you that in very important matters the Director of the Bureau of Personnel Affairs must get the approval either of the Minister or Vice-Minister?

A It does state that important matters concerned with personnel must be referred to the Minister or the Vice-Minister.

Now, coming to Article 47, that provides, with regard to publication of important matters, the approval of the Minister, the Vice-Minister, or the Bureau Director must be obtained, does it not?

A Yes.

Now, as I understand your evidence, no document can go out from the War Ministry unless it is

are referring to?

1	sent with the approval of the Minister or the Vice-
2	Minister or yourself, is that so?
3	A All outgoing documents which are handled as
4	official documents must be so handled.
5	Q And finally, under Article 51 the power to
6	stop any documents seems to be placed in the Minister
7	or the Vice-Minister, is that not so?
8	A Yes.
9	COLONEL MORNANE: Can the witness be shown
10	Pxhibit 1973?
11	(Whereupon, a document was handed
12	the witness.)
13	Language Section, I will be referring to
14	
15	page 2 of the English copy of that exhibit.
16	9 Have you that document, witness?
17	A Yes.
18	Now I want you to look at a document headed
19	"Receipt Number: Amitsu 1910."
20	That shows it as having been executed by
21	yourself and approved by the Minister of War, is that
22	not correct?
23	A No, that is not so.
24	O I don't think we are referring to the same
25	document, Witness. Will you read the document you

1	A "Subject: The Internment of Prisoners of
2	"ar in Korea."
3	o Yes. Well, now, who is shown as approving
4	that document?
5	A The Minister of War.
6	And there is one part that is marked "Execu-
7	ted by," and someone's seal. Is that your seal?
8	A Yes.
9	o "ell, doesn't that mean that that is approved
10	by the Minister of War and executed by you?
11	A By this is meant the fact that this document
12	has been approved by the Minister of War, and that
13	after approval it was executed and it was established
14	as an official document.
15	And that you were responsible for having it
16	executed?
17	A Mine was the responsibility for certifying that
18	the document had been prepared and was all ready to go
19	out.
20	COLONEL MORNANE: May the witness be shown
21	exhibit 1967?
22	(Whereupon, a document was handed
23	the witness.)
24	O The first page of that document shows a
25	message from the Chief of General Staff of the Eastern
	menage iiom one office of deficition of

District Army to the Minister of War, is that not so? 1 2 and it relates to the employment of prisoners 3 of war? A Ves. COLONEL MORNANE: Language Section. I am now 6 referring to page 1, at the foot of paragraph 2(d), 7 "8th working place." Now; Witness, you will notice there that 9 the place of labor is set out, and under the heading of 10 11 "8th working place," munitions factories for expanding 12 production are set out? 13 ves. 14 And that is an application to employ prisoners 15 of war at that place, among others, is it not? 16 COLONEL MORNANE: Language Section page 3 of 17 the English copy. 18 (Continuing) That is a reply to that request 19 dated the 2nd of October, 1942, and states that the 20 application is approved as recuested? 21 A Yes. 22 And you will notice there that the approving

authority is given as Vice-Minister of War. I take

it that means that he approved of that document being

23 24

25

dispatched?

2

4

5

6

8

1

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

A ves.

(Whereupon, the Japanese court reporter read the last answer:

THE WITNESS: No, I didn't say that.

THE MONITOR: The Japanese court reporter quoted the witness as saying "Yes" and the witness denies it.

THE WITNESS (continuing): I didn't say that the Vice-Minister of "ar sent out this document. The order came from the 'ar l'inister.

Then, I put to you, "itness, that that document shows that the person who approved of it going out was the Vice-Minister, who gave the authority to send it out.

A This was one of the matters which the Vice-Finister sent out as one of the matters delegated to him by the "ar Finister.

Yes, that is so. "hat I am putting to you is that he approved of it being sent out; that is, the Vice-Minister approved of it being sent out?

A No, that would not follow. Even if the Vicel'inister approved of the going out of this document, if this document fell under the category of those matters to be approved by the Vice-Minister of "ar

on delegation from the "ar Minister -- on matters 2 delegated to him by the "ar Minister, it would mean that the Vice-Minister's approval had the same effect as the War Minister himself approving it.

I should like to be permitted to explain what is meant by "matters delegated to the Vice-Minister."

Ves, explain.

Matters delegated by the War Minister means matters in which the "ar !'inister delegates a part of his authority to his subordinates; and since the "ar Minister's powers are very extensive and extend to even detailed matters, it is a physical impossibility for him to execute all these matters by himself, and therefore he delegates a portion of his authority to his subordinates and orders that they execute it.

The meaning of this is that it is tantamount to the "ar Minister saying, "I will take the responsibility for this act, so you do the actual execution." Therefore, the execution of matters delegated by the "ar Minister are all carried out on the responsibility of the War Minister.

THE PRESIDENT: But the nature of the action 24 would depend upon the decision of the Vice-Minister, I 25 take it?

THE WITNESS: The approval given by the Vice-

18

5

7

8

10

12

13

0

1 Winister in such cases is approval based on the inten-2 tions of the War Finister as understood by the Vice-3 Minister and does not represent the intentions of the 4 Vice-Minister himself. THE PRESIDENT: "e may be invited to believe 5 6 that, but we may not do so, Colonel Mornane. It is really not necessary to cross-examine at such length. The Vice-Minister's position seems to be very fully covered by these documents to which you are referring. It is very clear that no further cross-examination is 10 11 necessary. 12 COLONEL MORNANE: I was merely putting in 13 sample documents to explain his affidavit. 14 May the witness be shown IPS document No. 15 638? 16 (Thereupon, a document was handed 17 the witness.) 18 "itness, will you have a look at that document? 19 It purports to be a document addressed by the Chief 20 of Staff of the Ha-shu Corps to the Vice-Minister of War 21 KIMURA, Heitaro, does it not? 22 Yes. 23 And is dated the 14th of October, 1941? 24 ves. A

From the various seals on it can you identify

1	it as a document that has been and a second
2	as a document that has been received by the ar
3	
4	onis is a document received by the "ar
5	
6	ave you any independent recollection of the
7	document yoursell?
	A NO, I have not.
8	COLONEL !'ORNANE: I tender that document in
9	evidence, if the Tribunal pleases. It is IPS docu-
10	ment No. 638.
11	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
12	CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document
13	638 will receive exhibit No. 3366.
14	
15	"hereupon, the document above
16	referred was marked prosecution exhibit
7	3366 and received in evidence.)
8	COLONEL MORNANE: I propose, if the Tribunal
9	pleases, to read paragraph 2, page 4, "Re: The Murder
0:	of a French Missionary by Our Navy in POWEN."
1	The Tribunal may not consider it necessary to
2	have it read. It will, I take it, be read as an ex-
3	hibit by the Court itself.
4	THE PRESIDENT: If you really think it is
5	necessary to read it, you may do so, Colonel.

25

o Till you follow from paragraph 2, page 4, of

the English edition?

"Re: The Murder of a French Missionary by Our Navy in POTEN.

"The following was revealed upon further investigation of the case based upon the words of a DOMINIC DESPEIPAN, Bishop of the Catholic Church in HAIKO", who stated that the French Missionary MOLBAN had been killed by our Navy in the city of POWEN, a district then under the punitive operation of our Navy.

"(1) The circumstances under which the HAIKO" Church came to possess such information.

"Then the Catholic Missioneries MANDIE and TENEBES, stationed in the interior of the HAINAN ISLAND, arrived in the city of POTEN in the early part of September, they found that their brother missionary MOLBAN had been killed, and after investigating the affair, reported this matter to DOMINIC DESPETPAN, Bishop of the HAIKOT Church.

"(2) Presumptive reasons for being murdered and the conditions prevalent.

"During the current punitive operation -- it was on the 25th and the 26th of August that our Navy arrived in the city of PO"EN.

"On August 25, the Navy unit only passed through the city of POWEN, but on the 26th of August a

4 5

detachment of the unit again arrived in the city of POWEN with several lorries and found a dead body of a sailor of our Navy exposed in the front entrance of the city. (A body which had been dead for eight days.)

"Under the assumption that this work had been done by the residents of the POWEN City, the said detached unit burned the natives houses and the church of the city, killing the missionary MOLBAN and 24 other natives, burning their bodies. (One dead body remained unburned.)

"The murder of the missionary was executed in a native's house which is located between the church and the market a short distance away. Furthermore, they burned about 5 to 6,000 dollers in PAPI (Chugking dollers) and, within the same day, the detached unit withdrew to NAN-MENG-PO in the neighborhood, stationing there for two days, and later moved away.

"(3) Measures taken by DESPEIPAN, Bishop of the HAIKOT Church. DESPEIPAN, upon receiving the above-mentioned information, only telegraphed to the PEKING and LISBON Branches of the Church as follows: 'Missionary MOLBAN succumbs," without giving any further detailed reports.

"(4) The funeral rites are scheduled to be held at the HAIKOT Church from 9.00 e.m. October 6, Japanese military personnel are expected to attend."

THE PRESIDENT: What is the relevance of that?

COLONEL MORNANE: It is put in this way, your

Honor. This is a report received by the Vice-Minister

of War in 1941, and it brings to his knowledge the fact
that murder and looting do go on, and later on in 1944
he is in charge of the Burma forces.

THE PRESIDENT: Even comparatively small atrocities were reported to him in the ordinary course; is that your point?

COLONEL MORNANE: No, your Honor. What my point really was, was that General KIMURA, years before, had had notice of the manner in which Japanese troops or naval bodies had been behaving, and, therefore, he should have taken more active steps in Burma, not merely relying on the fact that no atrecities were reported.

THE WITNESS: May I make a remark as witness?

BY COLONEL MORNANE (Continued):

Q Unless you have any knowledge of this document, my friend, Mr. Howard, will allow you to remark on it.

You have no knowledge of this document yourself?

A I should like to state something on seeing this document.

Q Have you any knowledge of this document previously?

A No, this is the first time I saw it.

18

6

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

21

22

Q Well, Witness, you will be fully protected by 1 Mr. Howard, who will give you the opportunity to make any explanation. 3 You say that the Vice-Minister had nothing to 4 do with matters relating to prisoners of war, is that 5 50? 6 A Yes. 7 COLONEL MORNANE: Will the Tribunal pardon 8 me for a moment? 9 May the witness be shown Item 56 of IPS docu-10 ment 1552? 11 (Whereupon, a document was handed to 12 the witness.) 13 Witness, that is a document from the War 14 Ministry, is it not? 15 16 A Yes, as you say. 17 And that document refers to the Imperial policy not to permit visits by agents of the protecting powers to the enemy; is that so? 20 I wish to reframe my question. I have misread 21 it. 22 THE INTERPRETER: The witness said, "That is so." 23 And you will notice on that document that the 24 Minister delegated the -- the Vice-Minister delegated

the matter to the Chief of the Competent Bureau, UEMURA,

COLONEL MORNANE: I propose, if the Tribunal please, to tender for identification IPS document 1552. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 4 No. 1552 will be given exhibit No. 3367 for identification only. 7 (Whereupon, the document above 8 referred to was marked prosecution exhibit 9 No. 3367 for identification.) 10 THE PRESIDENT: Describe it shortly, Colonel. 12 COLONEL MORNANE: It is a bundle of documents relating to prisoners of war during the year 14 1942 received from -- it is a bound file of corres-15 pondence from Japanese POW Information Bureau relat-16 ing to POW inspection visits in 1942 and 1943, and I tender item 56 absolutely. 18 THE PRESIDENT: There being no objection, 19 it is admitted on the usual terms. THE WITNESS: May I make one remark? 21 COLONEL MORNANE: I propose to ask you some 22 23 questions about this document. THE PRESIDENT: Call out the number. 24

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

No. 1552, item 56, will receive exhibit No. 3367A.

11

13

(Whereupon, item 56 of IPS document 1552 was marked presecution exhibit 2 No. 3367A and received in evidence.) 3 Witness, that document shows that the Vice-4 Minister delegated the handling of the matter to the Chief of the Competent Bureau, UEMURA, does it not? 7 That is not so. I should like to make it 8 clear that this matter delegated was not delegated by 9 the Vice-Minister of War but by the War Minister. 10 Witness, on the top of that document there 11 is a printed form, is there not, an ordinary office 12 13 form? I can't understand the question. 14 There is attached to that document a normal 15 16 War Ministry form --17 Yes. A 18 (Continuing) -- containing certain printed 19 headings. 20 Yes. A 21 And one of those words printed is the word 22 "Minister." And after that appears -- or next to

that appears the word "delegated" which is not part

Yes.

of the printed form.

24

Well, now, what does that mean? That means that the Minister had delegated this matter to the bureau chief. THE PRESIDENT: These things are too plain to call for any explanation. We will recess for fifteen minutes. (Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:) 

Morse & Whalen

1

2

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Kraft.

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Captain Kraft): If the Tribunal please, we sumit the following language correction: Reference exhibit No. 3348, article 30, line 4, delete "or to" and substitute "and".

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.

Colonel Mornane.

colonel Mornane: If the Tribunal pleases, the cross-examination of the remaining two witnesses will be very short by virtue of the questions I am putting to this witness. I am anxious to clear up merely this delegation of powers, if the Tribunal would bear with me.

BY COLOLEL MORNANE (Continued):

Q Witness, you will see the word "Vice Minister," that is printed on the form, is it not?

A Yes.

Q And that is followed by the word "delegated" which has been added?

A Yes.

Q If the Minister had already delegated the matter to the Chief of the Prisoner of War Control Bureau, what was the necessity for putting "delegated"

23

after the word "Vice Minister"?

A That is a matter of form, and in cases where the authority is delegated to the bureau chief it has been the custom to put the word "I", meaning delegated, both after the seal of the minister and that of the vice minister. And, therefore, just because the word "delegated" is found after the seal of the minister it does not mean that the minister delegated his authority to the vice minister, and the vice minister in turn delegated it to the bureau chief. If the word "delegated" is found after the minister's seal and also after that of the vice minister, that means that the matter has been delegated to the bureau chief.

Q I suggest to you, Witness, that what happened is the minister has delegated it to the vice minister and the vice minister in his turn has delegated it to the bureau chief?

A That is a mistake.

Q Well, Witness, the original communication, the communication originating this file came from the Vice Minister of Foreign Affairs to the Vice Minister of War, did it not?

A Yes, as you say.

Q And the final communication is a draft of the reply of the Vice Minister of War to the Vice

4

6

Q

9

10

12

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23 24 Minister of Foreign Affairs, is that not correct?

A Yes, both terms, Vice Minister of War and Vice Minister of Foreign Affairs, are used on the document as a mere matter of form. That is to say it is a matter of custom that all documents sent from one ministry to another ministry -- sent from another ministry to the War Ministry are sent in the name of the vice minister of that ministry and are addressed to the Vice Minister of the War Ministry. And, therefore, even though the document itself is addressed from a vice minister to a vice minister it is erroneous to assume that it is actually addressed only to the vice minister; rather it should be interpreted as being sent -- as a document being sent from one ministry to another ministry.

COLONEL MORNANE: May the witness be shown Item 73 of exhibit 1552.

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

Q Witness, that document bears the stamp of the War Department upon it, does it not?

A Yes.

Q Now, you will note that document relates to inspection of prisoner of war camps, does it not?

A This is a document of the POW Administration

1	Bureau.
2	Q Relating to visits to prisoner of war camps?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Now, you will notice on that document there is
5	printed the words "Designation of Decision" followed
6	by the word "Vice Minister", is that so?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And that indicates that a decision
9	MR. HOWARD: Your Honor, these are new docu-
1	ments that they are putting in, and they are reading
2	them before we even get a chance to look at them.
3	COLONEL MORNANE: Perhaps, your Honor, I have
4	omitted to tender this document. By the time I have
5	tendered it my friend will have a chance to read it.
6	MR. HOWARL: We were under the impression that
7	the prosecution's case had closed. Of course, we don't
8	object to them cross-examining on certain matters, but
9	we don't like to have them make out a complete case or
0	attempt
1	THE PRESIDENT: That matter has been argued
2	and decided.
3	COLONEL MORNANE: I tender in evidence Item
4	73 of IPS document No. 1552
25	THE WITNESS: This document is

COLONEL MORNANE: Witness!

1	I tender in evidence that document which is
2	a Prisoner of War Administration file relating to in-
3	spection of prisoner of war camps made on the 1st of
4	December '42.
5	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
6	CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document
7	No. 1552, Item 73, will receive exhibit No. 3367-B.
8	(Whereupon, the document above re-
9	ferred to was marked prosecution exhibit No.
10	3367-B and received in evidence.)
11	Q Now, Witness, I refer you to
12	COLONEL MORNANE: May the witness be shown
13	exhibit 3349?
14	(Whereupon, a document was handed to
15	the witness.)
16	
17	COLONEL MORNANE: Court Reporter, this is on
18	pages 2 to 6 of the English translation of this exhibit
19	which is defense document 579.
20	Q Witness, you will notice there that there are
21	69 specified powers entrusted to the Vice Minister?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And in addition there is a provision "Among
24	the matters not mentioned here, those which have their
25	precedents will be dealt with in accordance with

convenience." I take it from that that the Vice

.

Minister had other powers in addition to these 69, is that so? A Yes. COLONEL MORNANE: That concludes my cross-examination, if the Tribunal pleases. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Howard. 

	1
1	RIDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. HOWARD:
3	General KAWAHARA, the document you were testi-
4	fying about, IPS document No. 1552, is General KIMURA's
5	seal upon that exhibit?
6	A May I see the document in question?
7	Q May he be shown exhibit No. 3367A again, please
8	(Whereupon, a document was handed
9	to the witness.)
10	A The vice-minister's seal does not appear.
11	Q ' Well, isn't it customary for the vice-minister
12	to put his seal upon documents that he does send out?
13	COLONEL MORNANE: If the Tribunal pleases, I
14	object to this question as leading.
15	THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld.
16	Q General KAWAHARA, getting back to personnel
17	matters, who actually did decide important personnel
18	matters while KIMURA was vice-minister?
19	A While KIMURA was vice-minister important
20	personnel matters were handled by the War Minister him-
22	self.
23	Q Now, I would like to go back to that exhibit
24	again, 3367A. Did you show this paper to General

KIMURA?

A No, I did not show it to him. This document

1 came to the "er Ministry more in the nature -- correction, 2 as a reference document and was, therefore -- it was, 3 therefore, sufficient for the adjutant in charge to refer it to the competent section, that is to say the Military Affairs Pureau. At the time the War Ministry was receiving this type of document in great number and it was impossible for the vice-minister to peruse all of these documents and, therefore, it followed that the member of the section in charge, the particular section in charge, would look at these documents.

Well, did General KIMURA see this document?

Since his seal does not appear on it, he did not see it.

MR. HOWARD: May the witness be shown exhibit 3366?

(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

Did General KIMURA ever see that document?

No, he did not.

MR. HOWARD: May the witness be excused on the usual terms?

> THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly. ("hereupon, the witness was excused.)

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 MR. HOWARD: We next call the witness SHIBAYAMA 2 whose affidavit is defense document 1026. 3 MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The witness SHIBAYAMA is in Court. He has previously testified before this 5 Tribunal. 6 THE PRESIDENT: You are still on your former 7 oath. 8 KANISHIRO SHIBAYAMA, recalled as a 9 witness on behalf of the defense, having been 10 previously sworn, testified through Japanese 12 interpreters as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. HOWARD: 15 Please state your name and address. 16 Kaneshiro SHIBAYAMA. 17 MR. HOWARD: I now ask that the witness be 18 shown defense document 1026. 19 (Whereupon, a document was handed to 20 the witness.) 21 Is that your affidevit and did you sign it? 22 Yes. 23 Q Are the contents therein true and correct? 24 Yes.

MR. HOWARD: I ask that defense document 1026

be received in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLIRK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 1026
will receive exhibit No. 3368.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3368 and received in evidence.)

MR. HOWARD: I read in evidence exhibit No. 3368, beginning at paragraph 1:

"I, SHIBAYAMA, Kaneshiro, was born at Osone, Ibaragi Prefecture, and I now live at 861 Komaba-machi, Meguro-ku, Tokyo. I held the position of Vice-Minister of War from August 1944 to July 1945.

"2. I have had no direct connection, whatever, officially or otherwise with the defendant KIHURA, Heitaro

"3. During the time that I was Vice-Wer
Minister and during the time that KIMURA was Vice-War
Minister, the regulations concerning the duties and
responsibilities of that office were construed to mean
and were placed in practice as follows:

"a. The Vice-Minister acts as an assistant to the Minister. Toward other departments and authorities he has no authority to represent the Ministry, nor does he possess the right of command, accordingly he is not in a position to take any responsibility for matters

7 8

7 8

outside the ministry.

"b. The Vice-Minister acts as an assistant to the Minister concerning military administrative affairs, but not concerning state affairs. Therefore, when the Minister wants to order the Vice-Minister to act in his place, first he has to go through the formalities of appointing the Vice Minister to the position of Minister of State according to the routine of the cabinet organization, otherwise the Minister has no authority to have the Vice-Minister act in his place. For instance, once when Minister of War UGAKI suffered ill health for a long period of time, Lt. General ABE, who was the Vice-Minister then, was appointed specially to be Minister of State and then was ordered to act in place of the Minister.

"c. The rights to command, to ampoint or dismiss, and to punish the Chiefs of Bureaus and Departments belongs only to the Minister, and not to the Vice-Minister. The Vice-Minister has only the right to supervise business management. This, however, does not imply such strong authority as the right to direct and command such management. It merely implies the right to offer guidance and instruct with regard to business.

S p 1 att ec. Y 6 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

a

"The Chiefs of the Bureaus and Departments have the right to command, to control and punish their staffs, and to keep examination records concerning them.

The Chiefs of Bureaus and Departments "d. are under direct control of the Minister, administer their duties by order of the Minister, and are directly responsible to the Minister. Therefore, they can present their opinion directly to the Minister and ask his decision, and the Minister can directly command and order them.

'The disposition of departmental business' which is the duty of the Vice-Minister means that the Vice-Minister is to coordinate business between bureaus and departments, to decide the matters delegated, and to transact miscellaneous and routine affairs which do not belong to any bureau or department. 'The supervision of business' means that the Vice-Minister, as an assistant to the Minister in regard to the affairs for which the Minister is responsible, is to direct the business of bureaus and departments, because the Chiefs of Bureaus and Departments direct and supervise the affairs of Departments and Sections which are under their jurisdiction.

"f. The Chief of the War Prisoners Control

25

Bureau superintends affairs in the War Ministry concerning the treatment of prisoners of war, and the Chiefs of other bureaus also supervise respectively the affairs relating to prisoners of war, according to their jurisdiction by order of the Minister. While the Chief of the War Prisoners Control Bureau is entrusted with some matters which are not very important, the Vice-Minister has nothing entrusted to him relating to affairs within the jurisdiction of the War Prisoners Control Bureau.

"g. The Vice-Minister is entrusted with a number of unimportant affairs which are under the jurisdiction of the Bureaus, and has no right to decide other matters, still less the right to give orders to those who are outside of the Ministry.

"h. The Vice-Minister's notifications by order are such notifications as are issued in the name of the Vice-Minister according to the routine hitherto in practice in case the Minister's orders are to be transmitted in writing. Also telegrams, references, answers, etc., are issued or received in the name of the Vice-Minister according to the routine procedure of business, but this fact does not necessarily mean that the Vice-Minister is responsible for such statements.

"i. Within the War Ministry it is the rule
that the Vice-Minister has nothing to do with appointments of personnel. This is contrary to the practice
in other ministries.

\* \* \*

"4. Changes of cabinets have no connection
whatever with the appointment of the Vice-Minister of
War, which belongs in the jurisdiction of the War
Ministry.

"5. It was natural that the Vice-Ministers,
no matter who they were, were appointed as members or
councillors of various committees, but many of them
actually have played no important part as such."

With the Court's permission I will ask two

With the Court's permission I will ask two or three additional questions.

Q Was KIMURA ever appointed minister of state?

A No.

Q Did the officers of the War Ministry plan or execute military operations?

A No.

What were the duties and responsibilities of the Vice War Minister and the chiefs of bureaus in the military section of the Imperial headquarters?

A He had no duties different from his ordinary peacetime duties as Vice-Minister of War even in that

'mili	tary section.
	Q What were those duties?
*	A May I have the question repeated?
	(Whereupon, the Japanese court
	reporter read.)
	A They did not have any special duties as
membe	ers of the staff as attending members.
	MR. HOWARD: Your witness.
	THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.
	CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY C	DLONEL MORNANE:
	Q Witness, what position did you occupy prior
to be	eing Vice-Minister of War?
	A Immediately prior to becoming Vice-Minister
of Wa	ar I was supreme military advisor to the Chinese
Gover	nment at Nanking.
	Q When were you appointed to that position?
	A April, 1943.
	Q And what were you prior to that?
	A Commander of the 26th division.
	Q For how long when did you take over
comma	nd of the 26th division?
	A April, 1942.
	Q And prior to that what were you?
	A Inspector general of supply.

1 Witness, you can't say of your own personal 2 knowledge anything about the way the accused KIMURA 3 conducted himself at the War Ministry as you were not 4 there while he was in office, is that not so? 5 Since I myself have served as Vice-Minister 6 in the War Ministry, on the whole I knew what my predecessors had done. 8 THE PRESIDENT: That is clear from his 9 affidavit, Colonel, paragraph two. 10 COLONEL MORNANE: I merely want to make it 11 clear that he himself did not know directly what was 12 done. 13 In view of the fact, if the Tribunal please, 14 that I have put to the previous witnesses all the 15 questions relating to KIMURA's position, I do not 16 propose to repeat them to this witness. 17 That concludes my cross-examination. 18 MR. HOWARD: Mr. President, may the witness 19 be excused on the usual terms? 20 THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly. 21 22 (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 23 MR. HOWARD: I next call witness TANAKA,

Tadakatsu, whose affidavit is defense document 954.

24

1	TADAKATSU TANAKA, called as a witness		
2	on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn,		
3	testified through Japonese interpreters as		
4	follows:		
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
6	BY MR. HOWARD:		
7	Q Please state your name and address.		
8	A My name is TANAKA, Tadakatsu; my address:		
9	Kasuga-cho, Shobu-machi, Minami Saitama-gun, Saitama		
10	Prefecture		
12	MR. HOWARD: I ask that the witness be shown		
13	defense document 954.		
14	Q Is that your affidavit and did you sign it?		
15	A Yes.		
16	Q Are the contents therein true and correct?		
17	A Yes.		
18	MR. HOWARD: I offer in evidence defense		
19	document 954.		
20	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.		
21	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 954		
22	will receive exhibit No. 3369.		
23	(Whereupon, the document above		
24	referred to was marked defense exhibit		

No. 3369 and received in evidence.)

MR. HOWARD: I will read in evidence exhibit
3369, beginning at paragraph 1:

"1. I, TANAKA, Tadakatsu, was born in Yamaguchi Prefecture on September 25, 1904. After graduating from the Military College, I successively served as staff officer of various units and was then appointed adjutant of the War Ministry and concurrently secretary to the War Minister in February 1941, remaining in these offices up to April 1943. Throughout the whole duration of the then Lieutenant-General MIMURA's tenure of office of the Vice-War Minister, I was always with him and assisted him as secretary attached to the Vice-Minister. Documents to be read or reviewed by the Vice-Minister were all handled by me, except those directly brought and handed him by officers in charge. Moreover, as the Vice-Minister used to tell me of a greater part of what he participated in and besides I was in attendance on him in almost all his dealings, I am fully familiar with a greater part of KIMURA's activities during his tenure of office of the Vice-Minister.

"2. Before his assumption of office as Vice-Minister, General KIMURA was in the same office with General TOJO for about six months as Director of the Ordnance Bureau at the time when TOJO was Vice-War

2

4

1

5

7

9

10

12

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

23 24

TANAKA DIRECT 31,811

Minister. Excepting this, KIMURA never served in the same government office, sc ool or army with TOJO, and he has had no connection whatever with General TOJO politically, ideologically or individually.

"As to the reason for the appointment of General KIMURA to the post of Vice-Minister, I have heard that it was to make him help the Minister in regard to the special and technical administration of arms which is his forte and also to promote the intraministry harmony and cooperation through his old acquaintanceship with different bureau directors at the time when he was Director of the Ordnance Bureau.

Prefecture which had not many career soldiers and with but few noted seniors. I am not sure whether or not there was any clique or sectionalism within the army. But, if any, KINURA had no connection whatever with it. Until his assumption of office of Vice-Minister, he was exclusively a genuine soldier chiefly engaged in artillery education, technical administration of arms and army commander; and did his best in the field of ordnance administration with his profound knowledge and experience in this line.

"During his tenure of office of the Vice-Minister, KIMURA was not in a position to play his role

1 2

positively on account of the surroundings at that time. Minister TOJO was well versed in military administration, especially proficient in business affairs, besides being a man of special industry. With 'Command at the head of the column' and 'Simplification and dispatch of business' as mottoes, he held power over principal bureau and department chiefs and ordered them direct, and conducted business positively and decisively. Most of these bureau and department chiefs were in their respective positions for a long time and they were all skilled men of ability; so they were conducting business promptly and correctly, faithfully complying with the intention of the Minister. Under such circumstances, the actual state of affairs at that time was that Vice-Minister KIMURA naturally used his ability principally in the sphere of ordnance administration, in which he was well versed; and simultaneously endeavored to facilitate the skilled and talented Minister as well as bureau and department chiefs to display their abilities to the fullest extent.

"I recollect a part of General KIMURA's address at a farewell meeting held in March 1943 (18th year of Showa), when he was transferred from Vice-Minister to the post of head of the Ordnance Administration Headquarters, which follows:

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

"'During my tenure of office of Vice-Minister, I thought it proper for me as an assistant of Minister TOJO to make every effort for business simplification and dispatch as well as the unity and conciliation of the whole ministry in order to contribute to the perfect realization of Minister TOJO's "command at the head of the column," and at the same time facilitate bureau and department chiefs giving full scope to their abilities; and I acted accordingly. However, now I have been appointed head of the Ordnance Administration Headquarters, so I will display my ambition positively by means of the "command at the head of the column"...

"I believe these words of his are more than enough to reveal his frame of mind during his tenure of office of the Vice-Minister. Furthermore, I heard him reveal similar expressions on occasion, while I was in contact with him as his secretary.

"As Minister TOJO was always extremely pressed with the heap of various miscellaneous business matters in addition to important state affairs, ceremonial matters of all kinds and other miscellaneous businesses were almost exclusively transacted by the Vice-Minister so that the Minister may concentrate his energy chiefly on important matters. Hence, the

Vice-Minister was always very busy too.

of office of the Prime Minister and the concurrent
Minister of War, abided by his principle of the 'command at the head of the column' all the more; and conducted the business of the War Ministry himself promptly and with extraordinary efforts, without entrusting important matters to others. Therefore, the functions and competence of Vice-Minister KIMURA were not subjected to any change compared with those of the days when General TOJO was the full-service War Minister. Nor was the Vice-Minister ordered to act as proxy to conduct minister's functions.

"Besides, it was rather rare that the Minister was absent from Tokyo on account of official tours, and, if any, the duration was very short; and there was not a single instance of the Vice-Minister's conduction of the functions of the Minister in his place even during this period.

"6. (1) The bureau chiefs conference was held twice weekly as a rule. This was not a conference in the true sense of the word but was merely a business liaison to make necessary reports to the Ninister and Vice-Minister by different bureau and department chiefs concerning matters in their respective charges, and

1 2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

16

17

18 19

20

22

23 24

25

simultaneously make mutual exchange of information among themselves for the purpose of establishing smooth business operation.

"The bureau directors' conference was presided over by the War Minister both in name and reality and he was very careful in guiding it even in minor matters. Although there were cases where the Minister was prevented from attending the conference, and consequently the Vice-Minister presided over it, they were very few and the conference was often given up because of the absence of the Minister. When the Minister was absent, his secretary used to make a record of the main points of the conference to report it to the Minister afterwards.

"I have no memory of having heard at either the bureau directors conference or any other occasions during my term of office in the War Ministry any protest or information regarding ill treatment of prisoners of war.

"(2) The contents of the communication issued in the name of the Vice-Minister by order of the Minister, concerning the disposal of prisoners of war at present (court exhibit No. 1965-A) were reported by Chief UEMURA of the Prisoner of War Administration Division at a bureau directors' conference, and the

3 4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

decision and approval were obtained therefor direct from the Minister at that time.

"7. The Kempei or the military police is a special army under the direct control of the Minister of War based on the ordinance concerning the Kempei and the Vice-Minister of War has no right to control it.

"8. The decision on the purport of 'The Vice-Minister's communication by order of the War Minister concerning the treatment of the enemy air crew members who entered Japan with the object of raiding its territory, bearing RIKUMITSU (TN: army secret) No. 2190, dated July 28, 1942 (court exhibit No. 1992) was made by the War Minister, with his consent given to the direct demand of the Chief of the General Staff.

"9. The matters concerning the employment of prisoners of war in the construction works of the Burma-Siam Railways were decided through direct negotiations between the Chief of the General Staff and the Minister of War. The relative construction order was drafted and issued by the General Staff Office.

"10. The Vice-Minister of War had no material connection whatever with the drafting of the bill for the revision of the Disciplinary Law for Prisoners of

3 4

5

7

9

10

11

13

15

16

18

20

21

22

24

War (court exhibit No. 1965-A). To be more precise, the bill was drafted and formulated by the burcaus and sections concerned to meet the actual requirement, and after consultations with the Legislative Bureau, it was decided at a Cabinet Council and then approved by the Diet. The fact is that Vice-Minister KIMURA knew the bill and the reason thereof only a few days prior to its introduction to the Diet and that he explained the reason before the Diet session.

tries, Vice-Minister KIMURA was entrusted with the task of either a member or a councilor of various committees, but many such committees were established customarily in each ministry and most of them were only nominal. Vice-Minister KIMURA did not attend most of these committee meetings, and even if he attended them on very rare occasions, his attendance was nothing but formal or conventional.

"12. Vice-Minister KIMURA did never attend the meetings of the commanders of the prisoner of war camps in the Ministry of War.

"13. At the time when the Minister of War delivered instructions to the Commander of the Zentsuji Division on May 30, 1942 (court exhibit No. 1960), Vice-Minister KIMURA did not attend on the Minister's

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

tour of inspection. Nor did the Vice-Minister participate in drafting the manuscript of the instructions. "14. I have never seen nor read 'The Report on the Violent Conducts in Malaya Peninsula! (court exhibit No. 476), nor have I heard of it from Vice-Minister KIMURA. "15. I have never heard that Vice-Minister KIMURA supported the German-Japanese Military Alliance. "16. The contact of Vice-Minister KIMURA with the German military officers in Japan was limited to the exchange of ceremonial greetings or compliments on festival days and so forth. He never met them on other business." Your witness. THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.

K.

21 22

23 24

7

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

19

20

21

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY COLONEL MORNANE:

Q Witness, do you remember being seen on the 25th of September by Mr. Monaghan of the International Prosecution Section?

A Yes.

Q Did you tell him something about a document that he produced to you?

A Yes.

Q Did you tell him that the document he produced to you was a copy of a telegram which was delivered to the Military Affairs Bureau of the War Ministry in Tokyo on or about the 25th of April, 1942, and brought to the attention of General KIMURA?

A It is a little different from what I actually said.

Q What did you say?

A This is a document which, after passing through the Military Affairs Bureau, was seen by the Vice Minister of War.

COLONEL MORNAME: Yes. Could the witness be shown this document?

Q Would you read that through, Witness; just to yourself? Read it to yourself. Is that a copy of the document which was shown to you?

1.

22

23

A Yes. 1 COLONEL MORNANE: I tender that document, 2 IPS document No. 629, in evidence, may it please the 3 4 Tribunal. The document is --5 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 6 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 7 No. 629 will receive exhibit No. 3370. 8 (Whereupon, the document above 9 referred to was marked prosecution exhibit 10 No. 3370 and received in evidence.) 11 THE PRESIDENT: It is a document of some 12 length, is it? 13 We will adjourn until half-past one. 14 (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 24

22

23

W		
0 1 f	2	
	2	
&	3	
Le	4	
e f l e r	5	
e	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	

.16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.

TADAKATSU TANAKA, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

COLONEL MORNANE: If the Tribunal pleases, immediately prior to the lunch adjournment, exhibit 3370 had been admitted in evidence. I now propose to read it:

"Secret

"Addressed to the Assistant Minister of War from the Chief of the Staff of the Expeditionary Force to China

"Ger Staff 2 Tel No. 303

"April 25, 1942

"As we cannot allow American air force, after inhumanly blind-bombing at the time of air raids of the Mainland, to escape to the Chinese continent seeking the base or hoping to strive for their safety

by becoming prisoners of war, we want positively to 1 destroy such enemies and as such actions contain espionage elements." Full stop. "Also we wish to make a stalement to the effect that we intend to punish such actions with severity to be grave offences 5 of war. But it may have some relations to international 6 laws and provisions, we wish to have the prompt opin-7 ions from the Center as regards to this matter." 8 THE PRESIDENT: That is a very poor trans-9 lation, isn't it? 10 COLONEL MORNANE: That is probably so, your 11 Honor. I don't wish to mislead the Tribunal as to 13 this document. This document was part of the I.P.S. 14 files, translation was made, and the document can no 15 longer be found -- the original document. 16 In those circumstances, this document was 17 put to the witness, and he accepts it. 18 That concludes my cross-examination. 19 MR. HOWARD: Mr. President. 20 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Howard. 21 MR. HOWARD: I would like to ask one ques-22 tion on redirect. 23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

25

BY MR. HOWARD:

Q Who was responsible for the treatment of the

documents addressed to the Vice-Minister of War? COLONEL MORNAME: If the Tribunal pleases, 2 I object to this as not arising out of examination 3 in chief. 4 THE PRESIDENT: How does it arise? 5 MR. HOWARD: The document that he just read 6 in evidence was addressed to the Vice-Minister. 7 8 THE PRESIDENT: Well, that does not make that 9 question admissible. Objection allowed. 10 MR. HOWARD: May the witness be excused on 11 the usual terms? 12 THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly. 13 MR. HOWARD: That concludes the individual 14 phase of KIMURA. 15 THE PRESIDENT: I understand the Russian 16 witnesses for cross-examination are now available. 17 COLONEL IVANOV: I now call the witness 18 TAKEBE, Rokuzo. 19 THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Ivanov, at this 20 stage all you can do is call the witness for crossexamination by the defense. Do you propose to do any 22 more than that? 23

24

ROKUZO TAKEBE, called as a witness on behalf of the prosecution, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, I answer to the question put by you. I propose to ask the witness several questions as to his personality and then tender the corrections and additions to his main affidavit, which are contained in his second affidavit, in his second additional affidavit made at his own request.

THE PRESIDENT: We cannot allow you to do any more than call him for cross-examination by the defense. After their cross-examination has been concluded, it may be that you will be able to get in these matters which appear in the additional affidavit, but we cannot say that at the present time.

COLONEL IVANOV: As you please, your Honor, I will only identify the witness, and all that I was going to do I will do after the cross-examination is finished.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY COLONEL IVANOV:

Q State your name and surname, Mr. Witness.

A My name is TAKEBE, Rokuzo.

11 12

2

5

7

8

9

10

14

13

15 16

17

18

20

21

23 24

6	What is your age?
A	Fifty five.
· ·	
	What was your position in the Manchukuoan
	ent and during what period of time?
Α	From July, 1940, to August, 1945, I held
	ce of Chief of the General Affairs Depart-
ment of	the Government of Manchukuo.
Q	You are interned in the Soviet Union, are
you not?	the state of the s
A	Yes, I am presently interned.
	COLONEL IVANOV: May the witness be shown
exhibit	No. 670, already admitted by the court.
	(Whereupon, a document was handed
to	the witness.)
Q	Mr. Witness, is that your affidavit and did
you sign	it?
A	This affidavit was written in my own hand-
writing	and signed by me.
ų	Did you give evidence truthfully and with-
out dure	
A	Yes.
Q	Are the contents of the affidavit true and
correct?	
Α	Yes, what I have written contains the truth
and is c	orrect. However, on October 20, I made two

corrections and prepared an additional affidavit containing these corrections. I wish that this affidavit may be used as reference.

Q Except these two corrections mentioned in your additional affidavit, there are no more corrections, is that so?

A There are none.

COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, may I now at this stage find out through oral examination the corrections which the witness made in his additional affidavit, or will I be permitted to tender this additional affidavit containing these additions and corrections made by the witness? I think that will save time.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.

MR. BLAKENEY: If that constitutes a tender into evidence, I wish to be heard in objection. I have no objection to corrections being made by the witness in the usual way, but inspection will show that this document being tendered is not a correction.

THE PRESIDENT: He must make the corrections without tendering the document, Colonel.

BY COLONEL IVANOV (Continued):

Q Mr. Witness, what amendments do you wish to make in your affidavit of March 26, 1946? Will you name them?

A The first point is that in my original affidavit I stated that the subsidy given to the Manchurian Heavy Industry Development Company between 1937 and 1945 was \(\frac{4}{5}00,000,000\). This was a mistake on my part and since putting down that figure I recall that the more accurate amount was \(\frac{4}{3}00,000,000\) or thereabouts.

The second point is with reference to a statement that I made in my original affidavit of March 26 last year in connection with the addresses that I heard delivered by former War Minister ARAKI and Lieutenant Colonel SUZUKI, Teiichi. Since my

4 5

 expression -- Since the expression of my thoughts in connection with these addresses were not necessarily accurate, I made further additions by way of supplement in order to make the points as clear as possible.

MR. BLAKENEY: That being the condition of affairs, your Honor, I object to any further so-called corrections. The witness has plainly said he is making additions. In Japanese he said he is making explanation.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Levin.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, I also want to join in the objection, specifically on behalf of the accused SUZUKI.

THE PRESIDENT: The correct course I suggest to Colonel Ivanov is to now invite cross-examination, and you may be able to clear up in re-examination anything that is dealt with in this additional affidavit.

COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, may I answer defense objections?

Your Honor, the Tribunal never denied a witness the right of correcting, clarifying or supplementing his testimony. The practice of the Tribunal abounds in examples when prosecution and

at .

defense witnesses used that right. For instance, I remember that during the Soviet phase of the defense case, there were presented two affidavits of the witness IIMURA and the second affidavit supplemented the first one.

THE PRESIDENT: This, of course, is the defense case and we must prevent you from tendering evidence, originally really, in the course of their case. You are allowed to make any necessary corrections, but whether this additional matter amounts to corrections or something additional is a question and I think we should have crossexamination first. The witness will not be prevented from making any corrections or explanations at the proper time.

COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, in the submission of the prosecution, due to the witnesses of the Soviet phase of the prosecution being summoned, the individual phases of the defense case have been temporarily suspended.

As to the short period of time in the course of which prosecution witnesses are examined, the order of procedure should be enforced which was established for general phases of the prosecution case. In my submission, this entitles us to offer

an additional affidavit of the witness, correcting and supplementing his first affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: You could not do this in a national court. If a witness is called for cross-examination only, he is cross-examined and nothing else happens.

COLONEL IVANOV: I would like to put one more question to the witness.

BY COLONEL IVANOV (Continued):

Q Mr. Witness, do you wish to give an additional explanation of the additions which you were going to make in your affidavit concerning the second point mentioned by you?

COLONEL IVANOV: I ask this question because the reply of the witness was interrupted by the discussion on these procedural matters. He didn't finish his answer.

MR. BLAKENEY: I object to it on the same ground as previously stated.

THE PRESIDENT: I have explained repeatedly this afternoon that you are allowed to make corrections, but here you are making something in addition; you are making explanations. And although this is the Russian prosecution being presented, that presentation has been limited for

1 the time being to cross-examination. The sole purpose of having this witness here today is to have him cross-examined. COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, am I permitted to make additional explanations mentioned by the witness?

BY CCLONEL IVANOV (Continued):

Q Mr. Witness, what corrections or explanations do you want to make concerning the addresses made by ARAKI and SUZUKI at the Prefectural Governors Conference in 1933?

> (The witness started to speak in Japanese) MR. BLAKENEY: Just a minute.

I object, your Honor, to the witness being asked to add explanations or supplements to his testimony as already recorded.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, on behalf of the accused SUZUKI, I join with Mr. Blakeney in the objection which he made, but I make the further objection that he should not be permitted to make any statement in relation to any speech by the accused ARAKI, because the accused ARAKI has already presented his case.

THE PRESIDENT: If, in the course of making a correction, ARAKI is mentioned in some way or is

6

7

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

23

24

prejudiced, you will be able then to meet that. We have already reserved that right. 

,

Knapp & Kapleau

1

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

MR. LEVIN: I might say, Mr. President, that I understand there are three others in the same position as the accused SUZUKI, in the same position as the accused ARAKI.

On the other hand, ir. President, I want to add further that that does not minimize the situation in which we are placed; that is, this witness has been brought here purely for cross-examination. He should not be permitted by way of correction to give substantive testimony, that is, any new evidence, before the cross-examination begins.

COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, the witness already told the Court that he wishes to make corrections and additions to his first affidavit. The Tribunal has permitted the prosecution to make these corrections and additions, and I do not understand why the defense counsel do not permit him to finish his answers.

THE PRESIDENT: The majority of the Court has decided to let you do as you propose, Colonel Ivanov, so proceed to tender the affidavit if you so desire.

COLONEL IVANOV: May the witness be shown prosecution document 2239-1?

("hereupon, a paper was handed to the witness.)

Q Is this affidavit written by you, in your own

21

22

24

:
't
se

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

2239-A will receive exhibit No. 3371.

(Whereupon, the document above rereferred to was marked prosecution exhibit No. 3371 and received in evidence.)

COLONEL IVANOV: With the permission of the Tribunal, I will read the additional affidavit of the witness TAKEBE:

"In addition to my testimony which I myself, in my own hand, put down in the interrogation of March 26, 1946, I must make the following supplementary statements:

"1. On page 11 of the Japanese text of my testimony there is some inaccuracy which was due to an error of my memory, and which I wish to correct. Speaking of the amount of subsidies disbursed by the Manchukuan Government to the Fanchurian Heavy Industry

Development Company, I wrote that beginning from 1937 the total amount of subsidies had been about 500 million yen; now I have recollected that this amount was 300 million yen or thereabouts.

"2. On page 11 of the Japanese text I, speaking of the prefectural governors' conference at which I had heard ARAKI's and SUZUKI's addresses, did not express my thoughts quite correctly. As the result of this, there is some inaccuracy in my answer. To make the whole of my answer regarding this matter absolutely

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

clear, I wish to make the following additional statement:

"Thile I was the governor of Akita Prefecture from June, 1932 to January, 1935, I attended the prefectural governors' conferences several times. The conference was convened regularly every year in Tokyo. At one of these conferences which took place, as far as I remember, in 1933, at the Great Auditorium of the Toyama Military School in Tokyo, I heard the address made by the Japanese War Minister, ARAKI, Sadao.

"The gist of ARAKI's address was that Japan had brought about the Manchurian Incident, established the state of Manchukuo, and her interests came to a clash with the interests of the states - members of the League of Nations. In this critical period it was necessary for Japan to strengthen her defense, her internal conditions and to secure military bases on the Japan was to inevitably clash with the continent. Soviet Union in the course of the effectuation of her policies, therefore it was necessary for Japan to secure for herself through military methods the territories of the ! aritime Province, Zabaikalye and !iberia. after ARAKI had finished, Lt. Colonel SUZUKI, Teiichi, a member of the War Affairs Section of the Military Affairs Bureau of the Japanese War Ministry, stated

19

20

21

25

24

orally in ARAKI's presence, (using a map of Manchuria, China and the Foviet Union which had been hung). SUZUKI described the various problems on matters pertaining to the international situation.

"The gist of SUZUKI's oral statement consisted in laying the ground for the necessity of Japan's war against the Soviet Union and for the necessity of capturing the Soviet Maritime Province, Zabaikalye and Siberia.

"Naturally, at the present time I do not remember the exact wording of ARAKI's and SUZUKI's statements, as many years have elapsed since then.

"3. To clarify the nature of my activities as Chief of the General Affairs Department of the Manchu-kuo Government in the period from 1940 to 1945 I must add the following:

was under the direct control of the Prime Minister of
Manchukuo. All the ministers of the Manchukuan Government were under the control of the General Affairs Department. Thus, the ministries with the General Affairs
Department formed the Government of Manchukuo. The
responsibility for the administration throughout the
whole country rested with me. The Vice-Chief of the
General Affairs Department and all vice-ministers who
in Manchuria were all Japanese were under me. All these

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

persons met for conferences once a week, on Tuesdays.

Drafts of decisions on some or other matters made at
these conferences were submitted for deliberation to the
cabinet meetings which would take place on Wednesdays.

"As chief of the General Affairs Department of the Manchukuo /Government/ I was directly under the control of General UMEZU, Commander in Chief of the Kwantung Army and from July, 1944 under General YAMADA.

"The Commander in Chief of the Kwantung Army carried out actual guidance of the Manchukuo Government. According to the established rule, chief of the General Affairs Department met the Commander in Chief on Faturday each week. On those days chief of the General Affairs Department reported to the Commander in Chief on various matters and received instructions from the latter for future matters. In case of necessity, he met the Commander in Chief in the middle of the week to get instructions. All basic and important matters as well as measures undertaken by the Manchukuo Government had to be sanctioned by the Commander in Chief of the Kwantung Army. Besides the Commander in Chief of the Kwantung Army, the following persons were usually present at the conferences on Faturdays: Chief of Staff of the Kwantung Army, his deputy, chief of the 4th Section, and Lt. General MIYAKE, Chief of the Central Headquarters

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

of the KYO-WA-KAI Society. Lt. General MIYAKE also reported to the Commander in Chief on the most important matters pertaining to the activities of the KYO-WA-KAI Society and received from him instructions concerning his work. Thus, the Commander in Chief of the Kwantung Army directed not only /the activities of/ the Manchukuo Government but also / those of/ the KYO-WA-KAI Society.

"4. On page 3 of the Japanese text of my testimony dated March 26, 1946, I enumerated principal measures which had been carried out by the Manchukuo Government for the purpose of creation of a military base for a war against the Soviet Union. To this I add that detailed instructions concerning the realization of the measures enumerated by me, i.e., concerning the construction of various military objectives, strategic railroads, airfields, border fortifications and others were given me by General UMEZU, the Commander in Chief of the Kwantung Army.

"5. I must add the following to my testimony concerning the Kan-Toku-En /plan/. In summer 1941, I went to Tokyo and visited Prime Minister TOJO. During our conversation he said to me that in connection with the military Kan-Toku-En plan it was necessary for the Manchukuo Government to take measures for supplying the Kwantung Army /with all that was necessary/.

22 23 24

"I received all instructions concerning the realization of the measures for the Kan-Toku-En plan as regards the Kwantung Army from General UEZU, Commander in Chief of the Kwantung Army and from Chief of his Staff. It is also necessary to point out that 5th Section under Colonel IKEDA, Sumihisa was organized in the Headquarters of the Kwantung Army in 1941. This section was engaged in studying an occupational system for the territories of the Foviet Union, which was planned to occupy. The leading Japanese officials of

"6. Concerning the construction of military objects in Manchuria, it is necessary to point out that the construction had been carried out even prior to my appointment as chief of the General Affairs Department of the Manchukuo Government.

the Manchukuo Government participated in this study.

"Ty predecessor at this post up to 1940 was HOFHINO, Naoki who in his activities, and in particular to the activities pertaining to the construction of military objects in Manchuria as a military base against the USSR acted in accordance with the instructions of the Kwantung Army Commander and the Chief of its Staff.

"Chiefs of the Kwantung Army Staff TOJO,
Hideki and ITAGAKI, Seishiro endeavored to carry out
military measures directed against the Soviet Union and

used Manchukuo resources for that purpose. From 1935
through 1938 I stayed in Manchuria holding the offices
of Chief of the Administrative Section and then of
Chief of the Kwantung Territory Bureau."
Signed, "TAKEBE, Rekuzo."
The defense may cross-examine witness TAKEBE,
Rekuzo.

MR. BLAKENEY: If permitted, I should like

before commencing, to make a statement of opposition.

THE PRESIDENT: This Court -- I do not know

what you have to say, Major Blakeney, but don't bring up that matter we have just closed.

MR. BLAKENEY: I don't think I have ever brought up a matter that has been closed, so far as I know. I have no desire to do so now.

COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, I must categorically object to the defense making preliminary statements, as the prosecution has made no such statements.

THE PRESIDENT: We must hear what Major Blakeney is going to say.

MR. BLAKENEY: Language Section, this is paper No. 1.

In connection with the tender by the Soviet prosecution of five witnesses for cross-examination, I should like to call the attention of the Tribunal to one

or two points bearing on the Soviet phase. One is that the defense must request leave to reopen its general Soviet phase for the introduction of additional evidence as may be necessary after the cross-examination of these witnesses now produced. This request is necessitated also by the fact that the affidavits of some ten or twelve additional witnesses, who have not been tendered for cross-examination, are now, by order of the 10 Tribunal, entered on 17 June 1947, stricken from the 11 record of (in the case of three of them) apparently to 12 be disregarded. Since the defense itself made, subject 13 to their final reception, some use of those affidavits, 14 we may wish to adduce other evidence in substitution therefor. Hence we shall, with the Tribunal's approval, at some appropriate time offer further evidence in our Soviet general phase.

And paper No. 2: Before commencing crossexamination, I wish to remind the Tribunal that a subpoena for the attendance of this witness was issued, at the motion of the defendant UMEZU, on 16 September 1946. The subpoena being later recalled, the defense was ordered provided with facilities for interrogation of the witness -- in Siberia, of course, where he then was. Now that he is present within the jurisdiction of

the Tribunal, I wish to request that upon conclusion of

20 21

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

his cross-examination he be made available to the defense for taking his testimony to be used, if we deem it advisable, in our behalf. The precedent for this procedure may be found in the case of General MATSUMURA, 4 record page 8154 et sel. THE PRESIDENT: I will deal with that matter in 6 chambers, if necessary. . 7 GENERAL VASILIEV: Mr. Blakeney read his statement with simplimiteous translation, and the text of this statement wasn't given to the Russian interpreters, and 10 therefore we could not hear it because he was reading 11 very fast and our interpreters could not interpret him. 12 Therefore we ask some time to get familiar with his 13 statement and then we shall answer the Tribunal concerning this statement. 15 And now Mr. Blakeney may cross-evamine the 16 witness. 17 THE PRESIDENT: You may withhold your reply 18 until you have a translation of what Major Blakeney 19 20 said.

We will proceed with the cross-examination. GENERAL VASILIEV: Thank you, your Honor.

CROSS EXAMINATION .

BY MR. BLAKENEY:

Mr. Witness, have you before you your affidavit,

## exhibit 670?

A No, I do not.

MR. BLAKENEY: Let it be handed to him, please. (Whereupon, a paper was handed to

the witness.)

Q Now, you state on page 1 of that document, do you not, that the purpose of the Kwantung Army being stationed in Manchuria was for defense. I dare say it is page 2 of the Japanese.

A Yes, that is how I state the point.

Q And that statement is true, is it not?

A As I have replied in my affidavit, the troops were stationed in Manchuria for purposes of defense, but to take -- to assume a position of attack in carrying out that defensive purpose. I have further supplemented that statement of mine later on, stating to the effect that generally after 1944, after the war of Greater East Asia turned unfavorably for Japan, that the Japanese position in Manchuria became purely defensive.

Q Well, let's not go into the Kan-Toku-En now.

A But as I have written somewhere else about the Kan-Toku-En --

Q We will come to that later. What I am trying to do now is simply to get you to affirm that you stated the truth in your affidavit when you said that the

2

1

4 5

7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

purpose of the Kwantung Army being stationed in Man-1 churia was for defense. The witness had already 2 COLONEL IVANOV: given full amddetailed answer to this question. The prosecution objects. THE PRESIDENT: Objection overruled. 6 That is true, isn't it? Q 7 A It is exactly as I have written in my affidavit. 8 And it is exactly as I have just stated it, 9 isn't it? 10 A Will you be good enough to repeat again? 11 That the purpose of the Kwantung Army being 12 stationed in Manchuria was for defense. 13 It is exactly as you say. 14 Right. And not only the Kwantung Army, but all 15 the military installations constructed by Japan in 16 Manchuria had the function of serving that fundamental 17 purpose in some way, had they not? 18 19 Yes. And that was the way you understood it at 20 all times, that you were connected with Manchuria, was 21 22 it not? That was my understanding during my tenure of 23

office as Chief of the General Affairs Board.

Now, in your affidavit, and also in your oral

24

explanation which I rather rudely interrupted a moment ago, you went on to say that it was intended to carry out that purpose of defense, but prepared for the offensive, as I understood you.

I could not fully comprehend the question.

That as you understood it, the Kwantung Army, at least in the early years of your tenure there, prepare to carry out its mission of defense, by keeping itself ready to take the offensive.

A Yes.

Groenborg & Roichors

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

21

This affidavit is written in your own hand, isn't it?

A Yes, in my handwriting.

Q Q And is the language, the wording of it, all your own?

A Yes, in my own language.

Q In that case, when you say, on page 2 of the English version, page 2 also, I think, of the Japan-ese version, that the aim of Japan's development of Lanchuria was to prepare for attack against the USSR, I assume that you misunderstood the question.

A I do not think I misunderstood the question. At least it was not my intention. I said that the purpose for the stationing of troops in manchuria was to carry out the objective of defense and, to carry out the defensive purposes, to assume a position necessary for offensive action. The question that was put to me in this connection was whether or not it was the ultimate aim of Japan to prepare an economic base for operations against the USSR, and my answer was that, inasmuch as the economic resources of manchukuo were to be used for the defensive purposes of the Kwantung army in this regard, I replied "Yes." Let me explain further. My reason for replying "Yes" to the question was that the

defensive strategy of the Kwantung army lay in attack against -- attack or offensive action against the USSR, and I think it was only natural that for defensive purposes the Kwantung Army should assume a 4 position preparatory -- assume a position necessary for offensive action. 6 Q Well, I think we quite agree. But, in 7 answer to this last question in the affidavit, when you said it was for attack, you diln't mean, did you, for aggression but you want for attack whenever 10 and however that might become necessary? 11 Yes, that was my meaning in so stating. 12 You became Chief of General Affairs in Q 13 ...anchukuo when? 14 In July, 1940. A 15 Q Who selected you for that position? 16 General ULEZU, at that time Commanding 17 General of the Kwantung Army. 18 Did you know General U.EZU before that? Q 19 We were not necessarily closely acquainted. A 20 21 Q Did he know you? 22 I think he did. 23 Q Where had he known you before? 24

I do not remember where.

How do you know who selected you for the

25

A

position of Chief of General Affairs?

A At the time I assumed the post of Chief of the General Affairs Board of Manchukuo, the talk with regard to my possible assumption of that office was brought to me by one, UZAWA, Michio, who came as a representative of General UMEZU.

	Q Was YUZAVA, Michio a military man?
.1	A No, not a military man.
2	Q Home Ministry man, wasn't he?
4	A Yes, an official of the Home Ministry.
5	What did he tell you when he came to you?
6	A It was an oral request made to me, asking me
7	to take over the post as Chief of the General Affairs
8	of the Manchukuo Government, which request I accepted.
9	Q Did Mr. YUZAWA tell you how General UMEZU
10	came to select you?
11	A No, he dian't.
12	Q Did he tell you that you were selected by
13	General UMEZU on the recommendation of himself, Mr.
14	YUZAWA?
15	A No, he did not say anything in particular.
16	Had you ever worked for General UMEZU before
17	that time?
18	A No.
19	Q Prior to the time you became Chief of General
20	Affairs in July 1940, when were you last in Manchuria?
21	A From January 1935 to April 1938 I was in the
22	service of the Kwantung Government General in Hsinking,
23	and therefore the last time I was in Manchukuo was
24	April 1938.
25	Q And from April 1938 until July 1940 you were not
	W Mile II on the

## in Manchuria at all, is that correct?

1	A No, I was not in Manchukuo.
1	Q In fact, you were in Japan proper, weren't you?
2	A Yes.
3	As Vice President of the Cabinet Planning
4	Board?
5	A Yes, for one year during that period, that
6	is to say, between April 1938 and July 1940.
7	U That one year was the latter part of that
8	time, wasn't it?
9	A Well, I should say somewhere right in the
10	middle, because I was Vice President of the Planning
12	Board between January 1939 and January 1940.
13	Q At all events, during the period of April 1938
14	to July 1940 you had no personal knowledge of what was
15	taking place in Manchuria, had you?
16	A No, no personal knowledge.
17	You have never been a military man, have you?
18	A I have never been a military man.
19	You don't pretend to be an expert on military
20	questions, do you?
21	A No, I am not an expert.
22	Q And during the time you were serving as Chief of
23	General Affairs in Manchukuo you were not taken into
24	consultation by the commander-in-chief or the staff
25	

officers of the Kwantung Army on military matters, 1 were you? Let's amend that to say operational 2 matters? 3 A As I have stated in my affidavit, the Kwantung 'rmy kept strictly secret from me anything pertaining to operational plans, but they consulted 6 me with respect to political and economic or such measures Q All of the operational plans of the Kwantung 8 Army were matters of the highest military secrecy, weren't they? 10 Yes, to anyone outside of the Kwantung 'rmy. 11 12 THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen 13 minutes. 14 (Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was 15 taken until 1500, after which the proceed-16 ings were resumed as follows:) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

Whalen & Morse

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: General Vasiliev.

GENERAL VASILIEV: May I be permitted to reply to the defense motion?

As far as the interrogation of TAKEBE, Rokuzo as a defense witness is concerned, then if the Tribunal will grant this application to the defense we will gladly comply with the wishes of the Tribunal and will submit TAKEBE, Rokuzo to the defense.

Then defense asks to be given possibility to submit additional evidence in connection with the cross-examination of these witnesses. We can't object to this on principle if certainly there is practical necessity for that. But from our point of view this witness at any rate had said nothing new, all of which he says was known previously. There is no necessity to submit any additional evidence at any rate in connection with this witness. If in the course of the cross-examination there will be such necessity we won't object to the introduction of additional material.

As far as the affidavits of the witnesses which were not produced here are concerned, I ask the permission of the Court to make a statement concerning

this after the cross-examination of these witnesses. 11 I ask the Court to hear our view on this question. As far as I know Mr. Chief of Counsel is preparing argumentation concerning these questions and is going to make a statement in court himself. 5 THE PRESIDENT: Yes. We will proceed with the cross-examination. 7 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. BLAKENEY (Continued): 10 Q You had just said before recess that all 11 operational orders of the Kwantung Army were of the 12 highest military secrecy so far as concerns any per-13 sons outside of the Kwantung Army. You were such a 14 person outside of the Kwantung Army, were you not? 15 Yes. I have a request to make to the Tri-A 16 bunal. I should like to borrow my affidavit. 17 MR. BLAKENEY: I have no objection. 18 (Whereupon, a document was handed 19 to the witness.) 20 But you know very well what's in your affi-21 22 davits, don't you? 23 Yes. Now, you say that you have often heard dis-24

cussions of the possibility of a Soviet-Japanese war

from the commanders in chief of the Kwantung Army, or

their chiefs or vice chiefs of staff, is that true?

A Yes.

UMEZU, I mean discussions of the possibility of a Soviet-Japanese war?

in connection with the possibility of a Russo-Japanese wer related mainly to what the government should do in connection therewith, that is to say, the plans to further plans for economic development, a more effective distribution of food supplies, more effective labor mobilization, and the adequate maintenance of law and order, matters which were related to the operational plans of the Kwantung army in connection with a possible wer with the U.S.S.R.

THE MONITOR: Instead of "possible war," operation against Russia.

A (Continuing) Such items which I have just named were related to the operational plans of the Kwantung Army in so far as they related to the U.S.S.R. In short, in the very broad sense, the requests made by the Kwantung Army to the government of Manchukuo related to their preparations for operational plans with regard to the Soviet Union.

THE INTERPRETER: In a broad sense I think

you can say that all demands made by the Kwantung
Army on the government related to their operational
plans against -- vis-a-vis Soviet Russia.

Q But, of course, General UMEZU never said to
you that any attack on the U.S.S.R. was planned, did
he?

COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, I think the
question is more than leading. It contains the
answer.

The PRESIDENT: Well, Colonel, it is a
cross-examiner's privilege to put leading questions
and to suggest the answer.

colonel IVANOV: I know that, your Henor, but in this case the defense counsel simply asks the witness to confirm a statement.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, even a cross-examiner has no right to ask a witness to repeat himself, but I didn't understand that that was the purpose of the question.

COLONEL IVANOV: I contend that the defense counsel has already formulated the answer and asks the witness to confirm it or to repeat it.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection overruled.

- Q That is true, of course, isn't it?
- A May I have the question repeated?

1	MR. BLAKENEY: Let the reporter read it.
2	(Whereupon, the Japanese court
3	reporter read.)
4	Well, that I fear is a rather difficult
5	question. I have no recollection whether or not
6	such language was used.
7	Q Well, don't you recollect that General UMEZU
8	in any conversation you ever had with him on the sub-
9	ject made it perfectly clear that the war between
10	Japan and the U.S.S.R. which was mentioned was to be
11	in the event of attack on Japan by the U.S.S.K.?
12	A He has not actually personally used such
13	words.
14	
15	Q You got that pretty clear impression from
16	his language and his attitude, didn't you?
17	A With regard to that I should like to be per-
18	mitted to make an explanation.
19	Q Well, after you tell me yes or no.
20	A I should like to explain before I reply
21	yes or n.
22	
23	

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

MR. BLAKENEY: No, I think we will do it my way this time.

THE PRESIDENT: If you can answer yes or no, do so. Then you may add an explanation. That is the usual course which you must follow.

THE WITNESS: I think it would be preferable if you heard my explanation and drew your own conclusions on the basis of your judgment whether it would be yes or no.

THE PRESIDENT: Obey the Court and answer yes or no if you can and then add an explanation if necessary

made by the witness it is clear that he can't answer yes or no and he asks the privilege of making an explanation on the basis of which the Court will draw their own conclusion whether he answered yes or no.

THE PRESIDENT: He has not said that he could not answer yes or no. Let him make another attempt.

THE WITNESS: I should like to make my explanation. It might be a long one.

THE PRESIDENT: Can you answer yes or no and then add an explanation?

THE INTERPRETER: The witness said, "Whose question was that?" The Language Section replied, "The President's." Thereupon the witness said, "I should

•

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

23 24

first like to make an explanation." 2 THE PRISIDENT: You must enswer yes or no if you 3 can. 4 THE WITNESS: With regard to questions of this kind I think it would be more advisable to make an explenation first. THE PRESIDENT: "ell, this is an impossible witness and we may be put in the position of having to disregard what he says. 10 COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, may I have the 11 question by the defense counsel repeated? The translation-12 which we have heard indicates that the question demands 13 an opinion from the witness -- conclusion by the witness --14 that the witness draw some conclusion, but we are not 15 sure of the translation that I can say this for certain. THE PRESIDENT: He has expressed no difficulty 17 about recalling the question, but let it be repeated. (Whereupon, the question was read by the 19 official court reporter as follows: "You got that 20 pretty clear impression from his language and his 21 attitude, didn't you?") 22 THE PRESIDENT: Well, can you answer that yes or no? (Whereupon, Colonel Ivanov spoke in

25

Russian.)

3

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

25

THE PRESIDENT: Oh, Colonel Ivanov, you must not interrupt. I put the question to the witness and he must answer it.

(To the "itness) Can you answer yes or no the question that has just been read to you by the court reporter?

THE WITNESS: I shall reply.

THE PRESIDENT: Do so.

THE WITNESS: General UMEZU made it his duty to maintain defense, and I should like to add my explanation.

MR. BLAKENEY: Well, I think you have already added it.

THE PRESIDENT: If you don't want the explanation you need not press it. We can regard the question as he refuses to answer under the circumstances because he hasn't expressed any inability to answer.

MR. BLAKENEY: I don't wish any further enswer.

I think the answer given speaks for itself.

Q Now, sometime earlier you started to speak of Kan-Toku-en, that is, the Kwantung Army special maneuver.

COLONEL IVANOV: As far as I understand, the Tribunal permitted the witness not only to answer the question but also to give his explanation, not only to answer yes or no, and I consider the defense has no right

3

5

6

8

9

11

13

14

17

16

18 19

20

22 23

24 25 to deprive him of the right given to him by the Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: I told Major Blakeney he need not press for an answer if he wished. If no answer is given, and none has been given, no explanation need be made.

COLONEL IVANOV: But the witness expressed his wish to give additional explanation.

THE PRESIDENT: He hasn't answered the question. There is no occasion to explain it. Major Blakeney does not went his explanation and he is cross-examining.

COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, but Major Blakeney stated here in court that he is satisfied with the reply of the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: I con't think you understood him.

Major Blakeney, proceed to put that question you were about to put when you were interrupted, if you desire to continue it.

BY MAJOR BLAKENEY (Continued):

Q I was saying that some time ago you started to speak of the Kantokuen which is the Kwantung Army special maneuver. Was that the operational plan for the Kwantung Army?

A I think it was the operational plan of the Kwantung Army.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

Q And as such it also was of high military secrecy, was it not?

A The contents of the Kantokuen were not disclosed to members of the government.

Q Therefore, your knowledge of those contents is only what you were told, that is, by Generals UMEZU, KUROKAWA, IKEDA and others, is that correct?

A I couldn't comprehend fully the point of the question.

Q All that you know about the contents of the Kantokuen is what you were told by these generals whom I have named and perhaps others of the Kwantung Army staff?

A No, all I know is what I was told.

Q Because you never saw the actual plan?

A Well, I just can't understand what you mean by seeing the Kantokuen plan; but in connection with this plan, the Kwantung Army made various demands on the government such as with regard to labor, as I have mentioned before. That is to say, the Kwantung Army had various demands to make upon the government, such as with respect to labor, funds and other economic questions, and the government on its part was placed in a position where it must materialize these demands; and in connection with such items it becomes known to

3 pratt

&

Y

eld

e

12 13 14

> 15 16 17

> > 19 20

18

21 22

23 24

me that the troop strength of the Kwantung Army has increased considerably.

Q There was no mystery about it. I merely meant that you never saw a document entitled "Kantokuen" setting out the full plan for the Kwantung Army special maneuver?

A I have not seen the Kantokuen plan in writing.

Q Now, these various people who told you about it all told you, did they not, that the Kantokuen was a plan for reinforcing the Kwantung Army?

A I should like to speak of all that I know with regard to the purpose of the Kantokuen.

tween Germany and the USSR broke out on June 22, 1941. In the month of July -- immediately after the outbreak of this war the Kantokuen plan began. According to this Kantokuen plan the strength of the Kwantung Army was to be increased although I do not know to what extent that strength was to be increased and in this connection the part that the government was to play was also to increase. When I learned of the Kantokuen plan I was, as a matter of fact, very much astonished and from my own intuition had entertained

misgivings that an attack on the USSR was imminent or that an outbreak of war between Japan and the USSR was close at hand. Even among the staff officers of the Kwantung Army there was a feeling that a war between the USSR and Japan was close at hand. However, the Kantokuen plan itself was being directed from Tokyo and even if an inquiry was made of the Kwantung Army itself they did not know wherein its purpose lay.

With permission from Commanding General
UMEZU I made an airplane trip to Tokyo, I think in
August, 1941. I met with members of the War Ministry
and also -- at that time I think he was Lieutenant
General -- TOJO, the War Minister, and made inquiries
with respect to the objective or purpose of the
Kantokuen plan. What I learned from War Minister
TOJO and other members of the War Ministry was that
no war was near at hand; however, I was unable to
obtain any explanation as to why the Kantokuen plan
was being drawn up, that is, why the Kantokuen plan
was being carried out. With regard to the Kantokuen
plan, War Minister TOJO made a request that every
effort be made by the Manchukuo Government to assist
the plan -- to assist the Kwantu. Army.

I returned to Hsinking immediately by plane

and assembling my subordinates I said that there was no war in the very near future and therefore work in connection with such a possibility was abandoned and other preparations were taken up.

Inasmuch as I was unable to obtain any explanation as to the purpose of the Kantokuen plan of the Kwantung Army I simply had to make judgments on my own part and in accordance with my own judgment to carry on my own work; but there must have been some significance in the fact that the Kwantung Army was increasing its forces, its strength, in the vicinity of the border on the other side of which were the troops of the USSR.

THE MONITOR: Japanese court reporter.

(Whereupon, the Japanese court reporter read.)

THE MONITOR: Especially the Soviet Union was right across the border from Manchukuo. There must have been some meaning in strengthening the Kwantung Army and the Army must have had the purpose of preparing for operations against the Soviet Union.

A (Continuing) I think the stenographic transcript of my statement is wrong. I meant to say that the Kwantung Army was an army that had for its purpose the preparation of operations against the

Soviet Union.

I will continue my explanation.

It was my belief that the Kantokuen plan must be something calculated to increase the power of attack vis-a-vis the Soviet Union, therefore it must have some kind of a purpose.

In view of the existence of the war between Germany and the USSR and in the light of the world situation it was my own judgment that some attack against the Soviet Union might be coming at an appropriate moment and that the plan in progress was to prepare for a position for such an attack and, as I have said before, these were all based upon my own estimate of the situation and my own judgment, and I had to proceed with my own work according to that judgment which I made personally.

Now, getting back to my question, you understood, didn't you, from the commander in chief and the staff officers of the Kwantung Army who told you about the Kantokuen that it was nothing more than a plan of reinforcement?

A Yes, as you say.

Q And War Minister TOJO told you the same thing, didn't he?

A War Minister TOJO did not add any special

6

3

4

5

8

7

10

12

14

13

16

17

18

19

21

22

explanation. But he did say to you, didn't he, without 2 stating the reason, that reinforcement of the Kwantung Army had been decided to be necessary and was being carried out under the Kantokuen? A He said that the Kwantung Army was going to be reinforced and asked that in connection therewith that the Manchukuo Government give its assistance. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 17 18 19 20 23

All the requests made of you by the Kwantung Army after the establishment of the Kantokuer. were such as would indicate reinforcement, were they not? That is, they requested that nore food and supplies be provided, more transportation to carry those supplies, more labor to build barracks, and so on?

A Yes, that is so.

Q Now, did I understand from something you said a moment ago that there were Soviet troops on the other side of the border from Manchuria?

A I don't think I said that.

Didn't you say that you formed certain conclusions because the Kwantung Army was being reinforced in Manchuria, which had on the other side of its border the troops of the USSA?

Yes, I spoke to that effect, although I did not use such language.

Were there many of those troops on the other side of the border?

COLOMLL IVAMOV: Your honor, this question is outside the scope of the affidavit of the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: I do not think it is. There is a question I want to put on behalf of a Herber of the Tribunal. It is suggested that it is well within

3

7

8

10

13

12

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

the scope of it. The objection is overruled.

- Q Will you answer, please?
- A Outside of the border of the area in which the Evantung Army was stationed, it is my personal estimation that there was a pretty large army of the USSR stationed.
  - Q Smaller or larger than the Eventung Army?
- A Not being an expert, I would not know such details.
- Q Were the Soviet forces on the other side of the border reinforced or increased in the summer of 1942 and later?

COLONEL IVANOV: Your Honor, from the previous answer it is quite clear that the witness is not competent to answer this question also.

THE PRESIDENT: The strength of the Soviet forces may be another matter entirely, Lajor Blakeney. He professes not to know.

!R. BLANENEY: It is directed, of course, to credibility. He has given us his opinion of the Kwantung Army's intentions, and I assume that opinion must be formed with knowledge of what the potential enemy is doing.

THE PRESIDENT: I do not know. Well, it is a borderline question. I feel inclined to allow it,

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2

personally. If there is doubt about it, we generally do. It is not easy to limit the scope of this affidavit because of cortain expressions in it to which I will refer later.

- Q What is your answer?
- Is your question whether or not the USSR troops' strength after 1942 increased or decreased?
  - In and after?
- Although I am not very clear, I think I heard from somebody in the Kwantung Army that the strength of the Soviet forces decreased somewhat after the summer of 1941 with the outbreak of war between the USSR and Germany; but I am not cortain.
- Is that the best information you have on the Q subject, that you think you heard it from someone?
  - That is the extent of my knowledge.
  - You can't tell us from whom you heard it?
- I cannot say for certain, but the information was given by some staff officer in the Kwantung Arny.
- Were you given any access to the intelligence files of the Kwantung Army?
  - No, I had no such access.
- Then you have no information about what intelligence the Kwantung Army had of Soviet forces facing the Hanchurian border?

20

19

21

22

23

24

A No, I had no knowledge.

Q And you have no information, I take it, concerning the estimates of the power and intentions of those Soviet forces facing the Manchurian border?

A No, I had no knowledge.

Q So you really have no basis whatever for any opinion about whether the Kwantung Army was going to attack the USSR in 1942, have you? It is purely a matter of your own private speculation, isn't it?

colonel IVANOV: Your Honor, the witness was speaking about facts, and now the defense counsel demands that he draw conclusions. I submit that it is for the Tribunal to draw conclusions from the facts, and the opinions of this witness have no value for us or are of no interest to us.

THE PRESIDENT: I understood the suggestion of learned counsel to be that this can was nevely speculating. He did not ask for any opinion at all so far as I recall; but the answer would not be likely to help in any event, hajor Blakeney. The objection is overruled. But what the value of the answer will be is hard to see.

IR. BLAKEMEY: I will be glad to leave it if the Tribunal feels so.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until

```
half-past nine tomorrow morning.
1
                      (Thereupon, at 1600, an adjourn-
2
            ment was taken until Tuesday, 28 October
3
            1947, at 0930.)
 5
7
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

e.

.